Sponsors: Florida Municipal Electric Association; Ohio Municipal Electric Association; Minnesota Municipal Utilities Association

In Support of Affordable, Reliable Solar Power Deployment

The amount of solar distributed generation (DG) has increased significantly in the last five years. As of 1 2 October 2014, 6.4 gigawatts (GW) of distributed capacity has been installed in the U.S., and is expected to increase by approximately 9 GW by 2016, and as much as 20 GW by 2020. Driving this exponential 3 4 growth is the dramatic decrease in the price of solar panels, with the installed cost of residential and 5 commercial photovoltaic (PV), the primary rooftop solar technology, declining over 70 percent since 6 2008. Also driving this growth are state, federal, and electric utility incentives for solar panel 7 installations, as well as state renewable portfolio (RPS) mandates. 8 9 As discussed in Resolution 14-02, the potential benefits of solar DG include avoided generation capacity costs, ancillary services, and higher transmission costs, as well as potentially reduced air pollution and 10 11 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and mitigation against outages on the grid. However, deployment of 12 solar DG can pose many operational challenges to electric utilities, including: grid system imbalances 13 caused by solar's variability; load forecasting impairment; safety concerns for lineworkers; and increased 14 strain on the electric distribution system. 15 16 While recent, more rapid, deployment of solar is being driven by some of the policy decisions mentioned 17 above, not-for-profit, consumer-owned public power utilities must also evaluate the deployment of both 18 rooftop solar and community-scale solar based on the needs of their local customers. Those needs include 19 affordability, reliability, environmental considerations and customer autonomy. 20 21 As public power utilities have undertaken such evaluations, they have realized that even with the 22 incentives and the reductions in panel prices, solar may still be expensive relative to other fuels, 23 depending on the location, application and integration with the utility system; and that in many cases the 24 more affordable and reliable means of deploying solar is by investing in community-scale projects. Such projects allow for public power utilities to more fully integrate solar generation with the other power 25 26 generation in their portfolios so as to minimize the variability. Community-scale solar also allows for 27 customers who want to pay the premium sometimes required to deploy solar on any scale to do so without 28 having to make the infrastructure investment required to add solar to their rooftops. While rooftop solar 29 is certainly an option for public power utilities' customer/owners, it is important that the overall costs and benefits of the various solar options are discussed and understood as these choices are being made. 30 31

| 32 | NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED: That decisions related to deployment of solar power |
|----|---|
| 33 | generation should be made at the local or state level, with a transparent discussion of the costs and |
| 34 | benefits relative to other generation sources; and |
| 35 | |
| 36 | BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That community solar projects, while still sometimes more costly than |
| 37 | most other types of power generation, can provide a relatively more affordable option than rooftop solar, |
| 38 | and also can provide much greater reliability; and |
| 39 | |
| 40 | BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That the American Public Power Association (APPA) will continue to |
| 41 | educate federal policy makers about the impacts of federal regulations and incentives on local decisions |
| 42 | related to solar power generation, and will discourage federal mandates or one-size-fits-all proposals in |
| 43 | this area. |
| | |

 $As \ adopted \ June \ 9, 2015, by \ the \ membership \ of \ the \ American \ Public \ Power \ Association \ at \ its \ annual \ meeting \ in \ Minnesota.$