

**ISSUE BRIEF** June 2021

# Preserving the Municipal Exemption From Federal Pole Attachment Regulations



## Summary

Several times since 2010, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) has recommended that Congress eliminate the exemption public power utilities and rural electric cooperatives have from FCC regulation of pole attachments under the guise of facilitating broadband deployment. Since 2016, the FCC has opened four dockets that reflect its desire to regulate, either explicitly or implicitly, public power utility poles even though the Commission is barred from doing so under section 224 of the Communications Act. In June 2018, Senate Commerce Committee Chairman John Thune (R-SD) and Senator Brian Schatz (D-HI) introduced legislation that would have effectively gutted the exemption for public power and weakened states' ability to reverse preempt federal pole attachment regulations. Soon thereafter, in September 2018, the FCC issued a report and order asserting its authority to preempt state and local laws and agreements, including those related to pole attachments.

The American Public Power Association (APPA) opposes any efforts by Congress to weaken or eliminate the municipal exemption public power utilities have from federal pole attachment regulations. The association also opposes the FCC's efforts to circumvent well-established federal law that precludes the Commission from regulating public power utility poles. In addition, APPA opposes any attempts by the FCC or Congress to impose a one-size-fits-all approach to the make-ready process for attaching to poles.<sup>1</sup>

## Background

The term pole attachment refers to the process by which communications companies can collocate communications infrastructure on existing electric utility poles. This reduces the number of poles that must be built to accommodate utility services, while reducing costs to users of both services by allowing providers to share costs. Rules governing pole attachments must balance the desire to maximize value for users of both electric and communications services with concerns unique to electric utility poles, such as safety and reliability.

In 1978, Congress passed the Pole Attachment Act, which added section 224 to the Communications Act of 1934, to require the FCC to establish subsidized rates for pole attachments for the then-new cable industry. Under the law, public power utilities and rural electric cooperatives were exempted from this requirement "because the pole attachment rates charged by municipally owned and cooperative utilities [were] already subject to a decision-making process based upon constituent needs and interests." This exemption continued through multiple telecommunications reform efforts, including enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, because Congress maintained that the existing process is appropriate and adequate. Attachment rates for public power utilities are usually determined at the local level and if a utility is seeking excessive pole-attachment rates, the affected attacher has the remedy of challenging the rate at the local level. (In a few states, public power attachment rates are governed by state law.)

---

<sup>1</sup> "Make-ready" policies govern the process for accessing and preparing utility poles for attachments.



In 2009, Congress directed the FCC, through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA), to develop and deliver to Congress within one year a National Broadband Plan (NBP) to ensure that every American has access to broadband capability. On March 16, 2010, the Commission released its NBP, which recommended, among other things, that “Congress should consider amending Section 224 of the Act to establish a harmonized access policy for all poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way.” In this recommendation, the FCC singled out the exemption of “poles owned by cooperatives, municipalities, and non-utilities,” and poles in states that have adopted their own system of regulation, noting that 85 million poles are not subject to its jurisdiction. However, the Commission cited no cases where the exemption proved to be an impediment to broadband deployment. In fact, the FCC provided no rationale for removing the exemption except to have a “uniform policy for broadband access to privately-owned physical infrastructure.”

## Regulatory Action

In December 2016, the FCC issued a public notice seeking comment on a petition for a declaratory ruling submitted by Mobilitie, LLC, which asserted that local government right-of-way (ROW) regulations and zoning requirements impede the ability of wireless carriers to deploy small cell wireless facilities in a timely manner. In comments to that petition, various wireless companies requested that the Commission use section 253 of the Communications Act to override section 224, which exempts public power utility poles from regulation by the Commission. In April 2017, APPA filed reply comments rebutting the assertions of wireless companies that the Commission can override section 224 using section 253, a provision that seeks to promote competition for telecommunications services by barring state and local barriers to entry and that also governs state and local ROW management. The text of section 224 is very clear—the FCC does not have statutory authority to regulate public power poles. Further, neither the FCC nor commenters offered any empirical evidence of public power utilities being a barrier to broadband deployment because of pole attachment rates or make-ready requirements.

In April 2017, the FCC issued two notices of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) and two notices of inquiry (NOI) referencing the Mobilitie petition with the purported goals of removing barriers to wireless and wireline broadband deployment. Among other things, the NPRMs and NOIs proposed to implement shot clocks and “deemed granted” rules for siting applications and accelerate pole attachment processes, as well as reduce pole attachment fees and make-ready costs. They also sought comment on whether the FCC can preempt state and local laws that the Commission perceives as creating barriers to deployment. The Commission asked whether it could use section 253 to regulate poles not subject to its jurisdiction under section 224. APPA submitted comments on the NPRMs and NOIs highlighting the statutory municipal exemption from federal regulation of pole attachments in section 224 and explaining why lower pole attachment fees and burdensome application processes are unnecessary.

In four orders issued between 2017 and 2018, the FCC addressed various parts of the April 2017 NPRMs and NOIs. In the first three FCC orders, the Commission excluded capital costs from pole attachment rates, set “shot clocks” on the time a utility has to respond to pole attachment complaints, and excluded certain utility pole replacements from historic preservation rules. In its final order, the Declaratory Ruling and Third Report and Order (R&O), issued in September 2018, the FCC preempted state and local laws and agreements, including those related to pole attachments, to “remove regulatory barriers that would unlawfully inhibit the deployment of infrastructure necessary to support these new [broadband] services.” Citing several recommendations set forth in the Broadband Deployment Advisory Committee model codes, the R&O set uniform rates and regulations on public power utilities’ pole attachments. This was done despite the clear language in section 224 that public power utility poles are exempt from FCC regulation.



APPA challenged the Commission's R&O in federal court. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit upheld the FCC's R&O stating the Commission could use section 253 to prevent local governments from creating barriers to wireless small cell deployment, including with respect to access to public power utility poles, but clarified that it could not use it to regulate the specific rates, terms, or conditions of public power pole attachments.

## Congressional Action

In 2017, Congress held a series of FCC-related hearings at which both witnesses and a few members of Congress made claims that pole attachment processes and rates are a barrier to the deployment of broadband technology. In his testimony, then FCC Chairman Ajit Pai recommended that Congress should give the Commission jurisdiction over public power utility poles. Unfortunately, no public power representatives were invited to testify at any of these hearings to provide their perspective on the issue.


In June 2018, Senators Thune and Schatz introduced S. 3157, the STREAMLINE Small Cell Deployment Act. The bill would have gutted public power's exemption in section 224 from federal pole attachment regulation, subjected public power to burdensome FCC oversight, and imposed unfair "one-size-fits-all" pole attachment rates and regulations, including unreasonable shot clocks and application "deemed granted" requirements. Public power strongly opposed this bill. The Senate Commerce Committee did not consider the legislation and it died at the end of the 115th Congress. Senators Thune and Schatz reintroduced their bill (S. 1699) in the 116th Congress, though it similarly did not receive committee consideration.

In January 2019, Representative Anna Eshoo (D-CA) introduced H.R. 530, the Accelerating Broadband Development by Empowering Local Communities Act of 2019, to overturn the FCC's September 2018 R&O that allows the FCC to preempt state and local pole attachment laws and agreements. APPA and over 130 public power entities sent a letter to Representative Eshoo in March 2019 expressing support for H.R. 530, which had 59 co-sponsors. Senator Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) introduced a Senate companion to H.R. 530, S. 2012, which APPA also supported. Neither bill was considered in the 116th Congress.

In March 2021, House Energy & Commerce Republicans released the American Broadband Act, a package of bills with the goal of removing purported barriers to broadband deployment. It includes provisions to prohibit the provision of wholesale and retail broadband services by local governments, including public power utilities, if there is more than one provider of service in the community. It also includes provisions to weaken local governments' permitting authority for telecommunications service providers and control the siting of communications equipment on governmental property. In addition, it would impose shot clocks on local governments for responding to applications for the siting of wired and wireless broadband infrastructure. The legislation is unlikely to receive consideration in committee, but some provisions from the bill could be folded into broader infrastructure legislation that is expected include provisions to promote broadband deployment.

## APPA Position

APPA strongly supports the FCC's goal of ensuring every American has access to broadband capability. However, the association strongly disagrees with the Commission's assertion that Congress should consider eliminating the municipal/cooperative exemption in section 224 of the Communications Act to "create a uniform policy for broadband access to privately owned infrastructure." The FCC has provided no evidence that the exemption is an impediment to broadband deployment, and it has ignored Congress's long-held belief that the pole attachment rates charged by public power utilities and cooperatives are reasonable because they are "subject to a decision-making process based upon constituent needs and



interests.” APPA also disagrees with the wireless industry’s assertion that the Commission should regulate public power utilities under a broad provision of the Communications Act pertaining to government-owned ROW.

APPA opposes any effort by Congress to weaken or gut the exemption for public power utilities from federal pole attachment regulations or eliminate it entirely. The association also opposes any assertion of authority by the FCC over public power utility poles, such as that in the R&O, in contravention of the explicit municipal exemption in section 224 of the Communications Act. In addition, APPA opposes any efforts to create model rules for pole attachments because one-size-fits-all, model pole attachment regulations are unworkable for public power utilities due to their diversity in size, operation, and governance structures. Public power utilities are owned by and accountable to their customers. They have a responsibility to ensure their customers are not subsidizing communications attachers and that safety and reliability are not compromised by unauthorized or improper attachments.

### **APPA Contact**

Corry Marshall, Senior Government Relations Director, 202-467-2939 / [cmarshall@publicpower.org](mailto:cmarshall@publicpower.org)

The American Public Power Association is the voice of not-for-profit, community-owned utilities that power 2,000 towns and cities nationwide. We represent public power before the federal government to protect the interests of the more than 49 million people that public power utilities serve, and the 93,000 people they employ. Our association advocates and advises on electricity policy, technology, trends, training, and operations. Our members strengthen their communities by providing superior service, engaging citizens, and instilling pride in community-owned power.