

# Distributed Energy Resources

## Summary

In a shift from the traditional electric power paradigm, utilities and utility customers are installing distributed energy resources (DERs), including distributed generation (DG) facilities that employ small-scale technologies to produce or dispatch electricity closer to the end use of power. Driving this exponential growth is the dramatic decrease in the price of DER technology, as well as state, federal, and utility incentives for DER installations and state renewable portfolio standards (RPS). Use of DERs may offer numerous benefits, including avoided generation capacity costs (e.g., less need to build new generation), avoided transmission costs, less need for backup power, and reduced air emissions, but it may also pose operational and economic challenges to electric utilities and their customers.

The American Public Power Association (APPA) believes that DERs can play an important role in helping meet energy needs and achieving environmental goals so long as customers pay their fair share of the costs of keeping the grid operating safely and reliably. However, rate design and regulatory requirements for DERs must take into account a utility's technical limitations and geographic considerations. APPA also believes that DER implementation policy and rate design are matters of state and local retail regulation and therefore Congress and federal agencies should refrain from imposing federal standards, such as mandating that DERs be allowed to participate directly in wholesale electric markets without the consent of state and local regulators.

## Background

Distributed energy resources include demand response, efficiency programs, and other demand-side management tools, as well as DG resources, such as solar photovoltaic installations, small wind turbines, combined heat and power, fuel cells, micro-turbines, and storage devices (e.g., large lithium batteries or grid-connected electric vehicles (EVs)). Use of DERs may reduce the need for new utility generation assets and ancillary services, allow utilities to avoid higher transmission costs by

reducing peak demand, reduce air pollution emitted by traditional fossil fuel-fired generation, and assist utilities in hedging against widespread power outages. Despite these potential benefits, DERs may also create operational and economic issues for electric utilities and power customers, each of which should be addressed at the local and state level.

For example, too much DG can create excess demand at a substation, causing power to flow from the substation to the transmission grid and increasing the likelihood for high voltage swings and other stresses on electric equipment. DG may also threaten lineworker safety. One such example is "islanding," when the DG continuously energizes a feeder even though the utility is no longer supplying power due to an outage or other cause. In addition, DG is more difficult to monitor and may impact load forecasts. Finally, DG customers may introduce additional operational complexities for transmission, distribution, and generation systems more than non-DG-owning customers. Utilities will have to make capital investments to address these potential strains on the system, and these costs may be borne by both DG-owning and non-DG-owning electric customers.

Increased DG use may cause economic issues as well. For example, subject to applicable state or local laws, most electric utilities compensate DG producers through net metering. Under a net-metering program, a utility will credit customers with on-site generation for their kilowatt-hour (kWh) sales to the grid and charge them for periods when electricity consumption from the grid exceeds their generation (or the net difference between consumption and generation). Under many net-metering programs, the customer is both charged and credited at the utility's full retail rate of electricity, thus potentially overcompensating distributed generators with a value of generation that is higher than the utility's avoided cost. Some states and non-regulated utilities have designed alternative compensation schemes to appropriately value the full costs associated with DG production, including increased customer charges for fixed costs, residential demand charges according to peak kW usage, time-based pricing, and standby rates. Additionally, some utilities have developed net billing or buy-all, sell-all arrangements

where excess solar generation is compensated at an avoided cost, wholesale, or value-of-solar rate. Still, some regulators (states, localities, and non-regulated utilities) have not implemented compensation schemes that properly account for certain fixed charges, and this may create an economic burden for both utilities and power customers. Community solar projects owned, in part, by consumers of the electricity produced by these facilities, may allow utilities to more accurately apportion costs and reduce variability on the system, thus addressing several of the issues associated with using solar DG. These economic issues may also arise in the future with the growth of storage and EVs.

These reliability, operational, and economic challenges may be even more severe if DERs are permitted to participate in wholesale electric markets without the consent of state and local regulators. APPA raised this concern in response to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) 2018 rule allowing electric storage resources located on the distribution network or even behind a retail customer meter to participate in organized wholesale electric markets without the consent of state and local regulators. The association, along with state regulators and other electric utility trade groups, challenged this aspect of FERC's storage rule in federal appeals court on jurisdictional grounds. However, in July 2020, the court denied the appeal, concluding that state and local regulators cannot use their authority over local distribution systems to broadly prohibit storage resources from accessing wholesale markets. The court did recognize that state and local regulators retain broad authority over the distribution system, which might be legitimately used to restrict wholesale market access in certain cases, including for safety or reliability purposes. FERC is currently considering additional rule changes to facilitate DER participation in organized wholesale markets, which could raise similar jurisdictional and practical concerns for APPA members.

### Congressional Action

Several bills related to DERs were introduced in the 116th Congress, including legislation intended to incent the development and deployment of energy storage technology. Senator Martin Heinrich (D-NM) and Representative Mike Doyle (D-PA) introduced the Energy Storage Tax Incentive and Deployment Act of 2019 (S. 1142/ H.R. 2096), which would expand the investment tax credit (ITC) for solar to include energy storage with a capacity of at least 5 kWh and expand the tax credit for residential energy efficiency property to include battery storage with at least a 3 kWh capacity. Similarly, Representative John Curtis (R-UT) introduced H.R. 5409, the Incentivizing New and Valuable Energy Storage (INVEST) Act of 2019, which would establish a new, 30 percent ITC for energy storage property.

The Promoting Grid Storage Act of 2019 (S. 1593/H.R. 2909), authored by Senator Tina Smith (D-MN) and Represent-

tative Sean Casten (D-IL), would boost energy storage research and development efforts at the Department of Energy (DOE) and make public power utilities eligible for storage technical assistance and grants. In the House, H.R. 2909 was included in H.R. 2, the Moving Forward Act, a broad infrastructure package developed by Democrats that was approved by the House of Representatives on July 1, 2020. The Senate bill, S. 1593, was included in a comprehensive energy storage package, the Better Energy Storage Technology (BEST) Act (S. 1602), introduced by Senator Susan Collins (R-ME).

In March, the BEST Act became part of a larger, bipartisan energy package, the American Energy Innovation Act (AEIA), introduced by Senate Energy & Natural Resources Committee Chairman Lisa Murkowski (R-AK) and Ranking Member Joe Manchin (D-WV). In addition to energy storage provisions from S. 1593, the AEIA included bills to reauthorize DOE's Solar Energy Technology Program [based on S. 2668, by Senators Martha McSally (R-AZ) and Kyrsten Sinema (D-AZ)], and DOE's Office of Wind Energy [based on S. 2660, by Senators Tina Smith (D-MN) and Susan Collins (R-ME)]. It would also reauthorize DOE geothermal programs for the next five years and add geothermal, including heat pumps and waste heat, to the definition of renewable energy for federal renewable energy purchase requirements [based on provisions from the Advanced Geothermal Innovation Leadership (AGILE) Act (S. 2657), authored by Senators Murkowski and Manchin]. APPA supports the Promoting Grid Storage Act as a stand-alone bill and the AEIA. While the AEIA was under consideration by the full Senate in March, it failed to clear a necessary procedural vote and it is not clear if the bill will be reconsidered this fall.

Finally, Senator Martin Heinrich (D-NM) and Representative Paul Tonko (D-NY) introduced the American Energy Opportunity Act (S. 2447/ H.R. 5335) in 2019. The legislation would require DOE to create a "Distributed Energy Opportunity Board" tasked with creating a voluntary, streamlined process for local permitting and inspection of distributed renewable energy, energy storage, and electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure. APPA is concerned with the one-size-fits-all approach, even if voluntary, envisioned in this legislation.

### American Public Power Association Position

APPA believes that DERs can and should play an important role in public power's energy portfolio, and it supports member utilities' efforts to safely and effectively install and facilitate the use of DERs. To continue fostering the growth of DERs, the association believes that it is important that all customers pay their fair share of the costs of keeping the grid operating safely and reliably. Thus, rate structures should be designed to reflect costs and assure that those who benefit from the grid are sharing

the costs associated with building and maintaining it. Because community solar projects may address several issues associated with DG usage, APPA supports this type of ownership structure for solar DG facilities. The association opposes attempts by the federal government to nationalize rate design and distribution-related matters that have traditionally been governed by state and local laws. Finally, APPA believes that FERC should recognize the authority of state and local regulators to determine whether DERs on the distribution systems they regulate should be permitted to participate in FERC-regulated wholesale electricity markets.

### **American Public Power Association Contact**

Sarah Czufin, Government Relations Director, 202-467-2959 /  
sczufin@publicpower.org

The American Public Power Association is the voice of not-for-profit, community-owned utilities that power 2,000 towns and cities nationwide. We represent public power before the federal government to protect the interests of the more than 49 million people that public power utilities serve, and the 93,000 people they employ. Our association advocates and advises on electricity policy, technology, trends, training, and operations. Our members strengthen their communities by providing superior service, engaging citizens, and instilling pride in community-owned power.