

# Electric Transmission Policies

- The American Public Power Association (APPA) believes that new electric transmission infrastructure is needed but rising transmission costs are a major concern for public power utilities. New transmission lines must be planned through transparent processes that prioritize the needs of utilities serving customers.
- The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) must be diligent in adopting and enforcing policies that ensure transmission costs paid by consumers are just and reasonable, as required by the Federal Power Act (FPA). Electricity customers should not be required to pay for transmission facilities from which they do not receive commensurate benefits.
- Some of the challenges involved in transmission planning, siting, and cost allocation could be mitigated if new transmission lines were jointly owned, with partial ownership by public power utilities where feasible. FERC and Congress should pursue policies to promote public power joint ownership.
- To encourage the development of new transmission facilities, Congress and federal agencies should streamline the federal permitting and siting process, eliminate excessive regulatory barriers, and ensure more timely decisions from relevant federal agencies.

## Background

When electricity is generated, it typically travels over high-voltage transmission lines from the generating unit to local electric distribution systems, and on to consumers. Just as cars traveling on the interstate highway system need to exit and travel on smaller roads to reach their destinations, lower voltage electric distribution systems interconnect with the transmission systems in their regions to deliver electricity to end-use customers—industry, homes, and businesses.

FERC administers the FPA, the federal law governing the transmission of electricity. FERC regulates electric transmission rates and infrastructure (including rules governing the interconnection of generators to the transmission grid) and has the authority to establish transmission planning rules. State and local governments generally have authority over the siting and construction of transmission lines, and they also regulate the electric distribution systems and the electric utilities that own and operate this infrastructure. This division of authority over the electric grid can at times create regulatory tension between states/localities and the federal government.

Over the last two decades, FERC has attempted to facilitate appropriate transmission planning and development through a series of orders aimed at addressing regional and interregional transmission planning and cost allocation (i.e., who pays for new transmission facilities), and the interconnection of new generators to the transmission grid.

In some regions, particularly those where regional transmission organizations and independent system operators supervise transmission system operation and planning, transmission costs have risen rapidly over the past several years, imposing a significant burden on transmission customers, including many public power utilities. While legitimate reasons exist for many of these costs, such as accommodating new renewable generation and upgrading aging infrastructure, public power utilities have also raised concerns that the most cost-effective transmission lines to meet their customers' needs are not necessarily being planned and built.

## Congressional and FERC Action

In May 2024, prompted by a rapidly evolving generation resource mix and the need to ensure a reliable and resilient grid, FERC issued rules on long-term regional transmission planning and improving generator interconnection (Order No. 1920). FERC Order No. 1920, as clarified and modified by subsequent orders in November 2024 and April 2025, requires each transmission planning region to engage in a long-term, forward-looking, comprehensive transmission planning process at least once every five years. These long-term plans must identify the regional transmission needs over the next 20 years, identify potential transmission facilities that meet those needs, measure the benefits of those transmission facilities, and evaluate whether to select any of those facilities in the regional transmission plan. The order also requires each region to propose a cost allocation methodology for the new long-term regional transmission facilities. Whether Order No. 1920 results in the most cost-effective new transmission facilities being built in the coming decade will depend on how transmission owners implement the order's complex provisions. Transmission planning regions are currently in the process of developing their implementation plans, which must be filed at FERC. And several states are challenging Order No. 1920 in the court of appeals.

In July 2023, FERC issued Order No. 2023, which modified its existing rules governing the process by which generators interconnect to the transmission system. The rapid evolution of the generation resource mix has strained FERC's existing generator interconnection framework in some regions, often complicated by the submission of many speculative interconnection requests.

Notably, Order No. 2023 replaces the "first come, first served" approach to generator interconnection with a "first ready, first served" approach, and requires transmission providers to study the impact of proposed interconnections in groups, or "clusters." APPA is generally supportive of the reforms in Order No. 2023, particularly the new policies to reduce speculative interconnection requests.

Nearly all transmission owners have now implemented the requirements of Order No. 2023, but it remains unduly time consuming to interconnect shovel-ready projects that are needed for reliability. In 2025, FERC approved limited, fast-track queue processes for the PJM Interconnection, Midcontinent Independent System Operator, and Southwest Power Pool. It is expected that approximately 130 reliable generation projects totaling around 50 gigawatts of generating capacity will be interconnected in the next five years through these fast-track processes.

In 2023, the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023 (P.L. 118-5) included a provision requiring the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) to study the amount of electricity that can be transferred between regions of the country, and to make recommendations for additions to the total transfer capability between each pair of neighboring regions. The law also requires FERC to submit a report to Congress in early 2026 on its conclusions from NERC's study and recommendations, if any, for needed statutory changes. NERC's resulting Interregional Transfer Capability Study, submitted to FERC in November 2024, found that existing interregional transfer capability varies significantly across the country and that a one-size-fits-all requirement for a minimum amount of interregional transmission may be inefficient and ineffective. The study identified 35 gigawatts of interregional transfer capability that could improve reliability but noted that building new transmission is one of many options for addressing the identified reliability risks.

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, (IIJA)(P.L. 117-58) revised federal "backstop" siting provisions of the FPA, which gives FERC authority to site certain transmission lines when state authorities cannot or do not approve them. The IIJA also included \$2.5 billion to fund a new Department of Energy (DOE) Transmission Facilitation Program, allowing the department to support the development of certain new or upgraded high-voltage transmission lines. The Inflation Reduction Act (IRA)(P.L. 117-169) included provisions to encourage the development of transmission infrastructure, including funding to build or modify high-voltage transmission lines in certain DOE-designated areas and funding for DOE to issue grants to transmission siting authorities, including states and local governments, for transmission project studies, stakeholder engagement, and costs associated with participation in federal and state regulatory proceedings. However, H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, signed into law in July 2025, ultimately rescinded remaining unobligated funding from transmission programs created by the IRA.

The 118th Congress focused extensively on reforming the permitting process for energy infrastructure. Broadly speaking, Republicans were, and remain, primarily interested in reforming the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and judicial reforms that would streamline the federal permitting process for a variety of energy projects, while Democrats were and continue to be focused on reforms that would change how transmission lines are sited and paid for (cost allocation), with the goal of expanding the transmission system to accommodate increased renewables. In 2024, Senators Joe Manchin (I-WV) and John Barrasso (R-WY) introduced S. 4753, the Energy Permitting Reform Act (EPRA) of 2024, which would have made changes to judicial review processes

for certain permitting challenges, increased oil, gas, and coal leases available on federal lands, and expanded renewable energy siting on federal lands. On transmission, EPRA would have further strengthened FERC's "backstop" siting authority and created a new requirement for transmission planning regions to create interregional transmission plans. Unfortunately, the legislation also included provisions that would have expanded the jurisdiction of FERC over public power utilities, electric cooperatives, and the federal Power Marketing Administrations, intruding on public power's critical local decision-making authority and likely leading to higher transmission rates for public power customers. APPA advocated extensively to amend the legislation to remove the expansion of FERC jurisdiction. EPRA passed out of the Senate Energy & Natural Resources Committee but did not receive further consideration in the Senate.

Permitting reform is also a major focus for the 119th Congress, which began in January of 2025, with bipartisan NEPA reform legislation passing in the House of Representatives in December 2025. APPA believes that permitting reform legislation should focus on reforms that increase clarity and certainty in NEPA and other environmental laws to speed up the permitting process for transmission and other energy infrastructure development, rather than changing cost allocation or granting the federal government more authority over public power utilities.

### APPA Contact

Sarah Mathias, Senior Government Relations Director, 202-467-2959 / [smathias@publicpower.org](mailto:smathias@publicpower.org)

Latif Nurani, Senior Regulatory Counsel, 202-505-5381 / [lnurani@publicpower.org](mailto:lnurani@publicpower.org)

The American Public Power Association is the voice of not-for-profit, community-owned utilities that power 2,000 towns and cities nationwide. We represent public power before the federal government and protect the interests of the more than 55 million people that public power utilities serve and the 100,000 people they employ.

© 2026 American Public Power Association.