Evaluation of Data Submitted to American Public Power Association’s 2019 Safety Awards of Excellence
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Introduction

This report provides both tabular and graphical evaluations of the data submitted to the American Public Power Association’s (The Association) annual Safety Awards of Excellence for 2019. The purpose of compiling this data is to offer a benchmark for individual municipal utilities. The intent of this report is to help safety professionals analyze their utility’s yearly performance in relation to others in the public power community. Through the data presented in this report, the Association aspires to help safety professionals create a context for review of safety program efficacy at their individual utilities.

Each year, the Safety Awards are open to any Association member utility, Joint Action Agency, Federal Agency, and State Association/Agency that wishes to participate. Since participation is voluntary, the rules are set up to encourage consistent involvement. Thus, to be eligible to win an award, participants must submit data for three consecutive years; in the third year, the participant will be considered eligible for an award. Consistent submission helps to minimize selection bias and skewing of yearly data.

Entries are sorted into groups according to the total number of hours worked by all electric utility employees at that particular utility in the designated year. The group categories are the same from year to year; a group-specific analysis is presented in section II of this report.

Awards are given out to the participating eligible utilities with the lowest incidence rate within each group. The incidence rate is calculated by using a standardized U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) formula. This formula was developed to give a relative number of accidents that an organization might expect if it had 100 employees. Since the incidence rate is based on a ratio, any utility that has fewer hours worked will experience a larger proportional impact of any incident (or “case”) on the final incidence rate. Conversely, a utility with more hours worked will experience a smaller proportional impact of any incident on the final incidence rate.

The incidence rate calculation is demonstrated below (note the weighting factor of 200,000 worker hours representing 100 typical employees).

\[
\text{Incidence Rate} = \frac{(\text{Total Reported Incidents} \times 200,000 \text{ Work Hours})}{\text{Number of Work Hours}}
\]

1 The American Public Power Associations Safety Awards of Excellence program was formerly referred to as the Association’s Safety Contest.
2 Starting in 2010, JAAs, Federal Agencies, and State Associations/Agencies were incorporated into a group based on their worker-hours. These organizations did not displace any utility winners in each group; rather, when applicable, they were recognized by lowest incidence rate within their worker-hour category group.
3 Group categories were changed slightly by the Associations Safety Committee in 2003 to account for utility growth.
Along with the group-by-group and regional (according to the Association regions) analyses of data, this report shows data averaged for all groups combined over the history of the awards.  

Section I – General Overview

This section provides a general overview of data submitted from 1994 through 2019 and provides the basis of benchmarking comparison for 2019 data. The data in this section of the report is taken from the “Reportable Injuries and Illnesses” section of the entry form. All entries received are incorporated into the following graphs. For reference purposes, the Safety Awards entry form is included as Appendix A of this report.

Overall participant data consisting of all worker-hour category groups combined across all years are shown below in bar graphs. The data submitted by participants covering 2019 is mostly in line with the historical data set. Figures 1, 2, and 3 show the number of entries, overall average incidence rate, and total number of fatalities by year. Entries are accepted for the prior complete year each January; e.g., 2019 data is collected in January 2020.

Figure 1: Total Entries

---

4 Association regions are defined in Section III
5 While the Safety Awards entry form has been updated slightly over the years, the essential information that has been gathered in each annual cycle has remained consistent.
Figure 2: Incidence Rate

Incidence Rate Per Year - All Respondents

Average  Median

Year | Incidence Rate | Incidence Rate |
-----|----------------|----------------|
1994 | 9.00           | 8.50           |
1995 | 8.50           | 8.00           |
1996 | 7.80           | 7.40           |
1997 | 8.50           | 8.00           |
1998 | 6.64           | 6.26           |
1999 | 6.90           | 6.52           |
2000 | 8.91           | 8.52           |
2001 | 8.69           | 8.28           |
2002 | 7.88           | 7.54           |
2003 | 8.16           | 7.80           |
2004 | 7.14           | 6.78           |
2005 | 6.74           | 6.39           |
2006 | 5.58           | 5.28           |
2007 | 6.36           | 5.80           |
2008 | 4.56           | 4.28           |
2009 | 4.71           | 4.44           |
2010 | 4.58           | 4.38           |
2011 | 4.92           | 4.69           |
2012 | 4.86           | 4.75           |
2013 | 5.58           | 5.46           |
2014 | 5.80           | 5.60           |
2015 | 6.00           | 5.80           |
2016 | 6.70           | 6.50           |
2017 | 6.90           | 6.70           |
2018 | 6.70           | 6.50           |
2019 | 4.52           | 4.38           |

Figure 3: Total Number of Fatalities

Total Number of Fatalities Per Year - All Respondents

Year | Total Number of Fatalities |
-----|----------------------------|
1994 | 3                          |
1995 | 4                          |
1996 | 3                          |
1997 | 3                          |
1998 | 6                          |
1999 | 6                          |
2000 | 3                          |
2001 | 3                          |
2002 | 2                          |
2003 | 2                          |
2004 | 3                          |
2005 | 3                          |
2006 | 3                          |
2007 | 3                          |
2008 | 2                          |
2009 | 2                          |
2010 | 2                          |
2011 | 2                          |
2012 | 1                          |
2013 | 0                          |
2014 | 0                          |
2015 | 0                          |
2016 | 0                          |
2017 | 0                          |
2018 | 3                          |
2019 | 0                          |
It is important to note that Figures 4, 5, and 6 are based on the number of cases reported in the “Reportable Injuries and Illnesses” section of the entry form, and not the actual number of days away from work or days of restricted or job transfer, as reported in the “Time Charges” section of the entry form. This information helps safety personnel focus on the number of incidents that occurred.

For example, an injury at one utility that required an employee to remain “Away from Work” for 45 days (due to one incident) is equivalent to an injury at another utility where the employee remained “Away from Work” for 2 days (also from one incident)—in each example, the incident would be considered as one case, regardless of the number of resulting days away from work. The type or duration of the injury is not considered, rather only that the incident resulted in reportable injury.  

For further explanation of “cases” and case reporting, please see the Association’s Safety Awards of Excellence Rules and Regulations document in the Appendix of this report.

Figure 4: Average Number of Days Away from Work Cases

---

For further explanation of “cases” and case reporting, please see the Association’s Safety Awards of Excellence Rules and Regulations document in the Appendix of this report.
Figure 5: Average Number of Restricted or Job Transfer Cases

Average Number of Days Restricted or Job Transfer Cases Per Year - All Respondents

Figure 6: Average Number of Medical Treatment Cases

Average Number of Medical Cases Per Year - All Respondents
Section II – Award Groups

Once data is submitted to the American Public Power Association, the entries are sorted into groups according to the total number of hours worked by all electric utility employees at that particular utility in the designated year. The group categories are the same from year to year; the table below shows the current groups used in the Awards.⁷

Table 1: Award Groups by Number of Worker-Hours of Exposure in One Calendar Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Worker-Hour Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>Less than 15,000 worker-hours of exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>15,000 to 29,999 worker-hours of exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>30,000 to 59,999 worker-hours of exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D</td>
<td>60,000 to 109,999 worker-hours of exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group E</td>
<td>110,000 to 249,999 worker-hours of exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group F</td>
<td>250,000 to 999,999 worker-hours of exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group G</td>
<td>1,000,000 to 3,999,999 worker-hours of exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group H</td>
<td>More than 3,999,999 worker-hours of exposure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The information provided in this section is based on the data gathered for the year 2019 from the “Reportable Injuries and Illnesses” and “Time Charges” sections of the entry form broken out by group. The incidence rates along with average number of cases from the case types recorded on the entry form are displayed by utility group.

⁷ Group categories were changed slightly by the Association’s Safety Committee in 2003 to account for utility growth.
Figure 7: Count of all Respondents in 2019 by Group

Figure 8: Average Number of Electrical Employees in 2019 by Group

Figure 9: Average Total Worker Hours in 2019 by Group
Figure 10: Average and Median Incidence Rates in 2019 by Group

Figure 11: Average Number of Days Away from Work Cases for 2019 by Group

Figure 12: Average Number of Restricted or Job Transfer Cases for 2019 by Group
Figure 13: Average Number of Medical Treatment Cases for 2019 by Group

![Figure 13: Average Number of Medical Treatment Cases for 2019 by Group](image)

Figure 14: Average Number of Total Cases for 2019 by Group

![Figure 14: Average Number of Total Cases for 2019 by Group](image)

While systems with more worker-hours tend to have lower incidence rates (see Figure 10), they are also more likely to have higher average numbers of cases (days away from work, restricted or job transfer, and/or medical treatment). More worker-hours of exposure create more opportunities for incidents to occur; likewise, a utility with fewer worker-hours (employees) is likely to have fewer cases than one with more worker-hours (employees).

In the “Time Charges” section of the entry form, utilities record the cumulative days from cases that resulted in days away from work or restricted duty for all employees. When assigned, these days can be taken by employees, or mandated by managers, and can be due to either injuries or illnesses. As expected, Figures 15 and 16 show that the average number of days away increases as electrical worker-hours increase.
Figure 15: Average Number of Days Away from Work by Group

Figure 16: Average Number of Restricted Duty or Job Transfer Days by Group
Section III – Award Region

This section provides an additional analysis of the Section I data by regions. The regions were established by the American Public Power Association’s Board of Directors in the 1980s. A breakdown of the regions can be found below.

Region 1: Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah
Region 2: Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin
Region 3: Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota
Region 4: Oklahoma, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana
Region 5: Maryland, Delaware, West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida
Region 6: Nevada, Arizona, California
Region 7: Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama
Region 8: Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania
Region 9: Montana, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, Alaska
Region 10: American Samoa, Federal States of Micronesia, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Palau, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands

As shown in Section II, utility size, as grouped by worker-hours, may have an impact on average values in a given region. Thus, we have broken out the count of utility entrants with greater than 1 million worker-hours for reader consideration in Figure 20.

---

8 Region 10 data is omitted this year due to there being only one entrant.
Figure 17: Count of All Respondents by Association Region

![Count of Respondents by Association Region](image1)

Figure 18: Average Number of Electrical Employees in 2019 by Region

![Average Number of Electrical Employees](image2)
**Figure 19: Average Total Worker Hours in 2019 by Region**

![Bar chart showing average total worker hours by region in 2019.](chart1)

**Figure 20: Count of All Respondents with More Than 1 Million Worker-hours by Association Region**

![Bar chart showing count of respondents by region.](chart2)
In the following graphs, it is important to keep this information in mind; while systems with more worker hours tend to have lower incidence rates, their average number of cases tend to be higher.

**Figure 21: Average and Median Incidence Rates by Association Region**

**Figure 22: Average Number of Days Away from Work Cases by Association Region**
Figure 23: Average Number of Restricted or Job Transfer Cases by Association Region

Figure 24: Average Number of Medical Cases by Association Region

Figure 25: Average Number of Total Cases for 2019 by Region
Figure 26: Average Number of Days Away from Work by Region

Figure 27: Average Number of Restricted Duty or Job Transfer Days by Region
Section IV – DART Rate

DART rate is short for Days Away from work, days of Restricted work activity, and/or days of job Transfer rate.

The figures in Section IV only include cases that resulted in days away from work, days of restricted work activity, and/or days of job transfer. All other recordable cases such as medical treatment and their corresponding graphs and figures can be found in Sections I, II, and III. Group and Region breakdown can be found in Sections II and III, respectively.

\[
\text{DART Rate} = \frac{\text{(Days Away from Work Cases + Job Transfer or Restricted Cases) } \times 200,000 \text{ Work Hours}}{\text{Number of Work Hours}}
\]

Figure 28: Average Number of DART Cases by Group
Figure 29: Average/Median DART Rate by Group

![Average/Median DART Rate by Group](chart)

Figure 30: Average Number of DART Cases by Association Region

![Average Number of DART Cases by Association Region](chart)
Figure 3: Average/Median DART Rate by Association Region
Section V- Summary

The American Public Power Association encourages each utility to review the overall combined utility statistics along with the statistics for their utility grouping. For example, a small utility that falls into Group A may find it useful to compare itself to the overall combined data in order to track their safety performance against all public power utilities across the United States. Yet, this same utility may not find the actual breakdown of Group H data to be of interest. (A comparison of a 15,000 worker-hour utility to a 4,000,000 worker-hour utility may not provide any insightful information.) However, the 15,000 worker-hours of exposure per year utility should find value in comparing itself directly to any data provided for Group A.

The American Public Power Association provides the data presented in this report for use by its members in aggregate form only. The individual names of the utilities that have entered the Awards are confidential. Each year, The Association releases only the names of those utilities that have received Awards recognition, in addition to their calculated incidence rate and worker-hours for the given year.

Since greater numbers of entrants enhance the value of the Safety Awards for all utilities involved, The Association encourages all members to continue submitting data on a yearly basis, regardless of the number of accidents/injuries that have occurred over the calendar year.

We welcome comments and suggestions on how to make this report better, as we strive to improve the accuracy and readability with each edition. You may reach Engineering Services staff directly via e-mail or phone:

Linda Zhang, Operations Services Assistant, 202-467-2931 or LZhang@PublicPower.org

Alex Hofmann, Senior Director, Energy and Environmental Services, 202-467-2956 or AHofmann@PublicPower.org
Appendix

- 2019 Safety Awards of Excellence Annual Report Form
- American Public Power Association Safety Awards of Excellence Rules and Regulations
- OSHA Forms for Recording Work-Related Injuries and Illnesses